Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)	
ATRITIC)	ION 01 C4470
AT&T Corporation)	IC Nos. 01-S44726
)	01-S45120
Complaints Regarding)	01-S52014
Unauthorized Change of)	01-S54064
Subscriber's Telecommunications Carrier)	01-S58943
)	02-S70400
)	02-S72382
)	02-S79543
)	02-B0005767

ORDER

Adopted: July 15, 2003 Released: July 17, 2003

By the Acting Deputy Chief, Policy Division, Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau:

- 1. In this Order, we consider complaints¹ alleging that AT&T Corporation (AT&T) changed Complainants' telecommunications service providers without obtaining authorization and verification from Complainants in violation of the Commission's rules.² We conclude that AT&T has responded fully to the complaints and has taken appropriate action to resolve the complaints.
- 2. In December 1998, the Commission released the *Section 258 Order* in which it adopted rules to implement Section 258 of the Communications Act of 1934 (Act), as amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (1996 Act).³ Section 258 prohibits the practice of

² See 47 C.F.R. §§ 64.1100 – 64.1190.

47 U.S.C. § 258(a); Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996); Implementation of the Subscriber Carrier Selection Changes Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996; Policies and Rules Concerning Unauthorized Changes of Consumers' Long Distance Carriers, CC Docket No. 94-129, Second Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making, 14 FCC Rcd 1508 (1998) (Section 258 Order), stayed in part, MCI WorldCom v. FCC, No. 99-1125 (D.C. Cir. May 18, 1999); First Order on Reconsideration, 15 FCC Rcd 8158 (2000); stay lifted, MCI WorldCom v. FCC, No. 99-1125 (D.C. Cir. June 27, 2000); Third Report and Order and Second Order on Reconsideration, 15 FCC Rcd 15996 (2000), Errata, DA No. 00-2163 (rel. Sept. 25, 2000), Erratum, DA No. 00-2192 (rel. Oct. 4, 2000), Order, FCC 01-67 (rel. Feb. 22, 2001); reconsideration pending. Prior to the adoption of Section 258, the Commission had taken various steps to address the slamming problem. See, e.g., Policies and Rules Concerning Unauthorized Changes of Consumers' Long Distance Carriers, CC Docket No. 94-129, Report and Order, 10 FCC Rcd 9560 (1995), stayed in part, 11 (continued....)

See Appendix A.

"slamming," the submission or execution of an unauthorized change in a subscriber's selection of a provider of telephone exchange service or telephone toll service. In the *Section 258 Order*, the Commission adopted aggressive new rules designed to take the profit out of slamming, broadened the scope of the slamming rules to encompass all carriers, and modified its existing requirements for the authorization and verification of preferred carrier changes. The rules require, among other things, that a carrier receive individual subscriber consent before a carrier change may occur. Pursuant to Section 258, carriers are absolutely barred from changing a customer's preferred local or long distance carrier without first complying with one of the Commission's verification procedures. Specifically, a carrier must: (1) obtain the subscriber's written or electronically signed authorization in a format that meets the requirements of Section 64.1130 authorization; (2) obtain confirmation from the subscriber via a toll-free number provided exclusively for the purpose of confirming orders electronically; or (3) utilize an independent third party to verify the subscriber's order.

3. The Commission also has adopted liability rules. These rules require the carrier to absolve the subscriber where the subscriber has not paid his or her bill. In that context, if the subscriber has not already paid charges to the unauthorized carrier, the subscriber is absolved of liability for charges imposed by the unauthorized carrier for service provided during the first 30 days after the unauthorized change. Where the subscriber has paid charges to the unauthorized carrier, the Commission's rules require that the unauthorized carrier pay 150% of those charges to the authorized carrier, and the authorized carrier shall refund or credit to the subscriber 50% of all charges paid by the subscriber to the unauthorized carrier. Carriers should note that our actions in this Order do not preclude the Commission from taking additional action, if warranted, pursuant to Section 503 of the Act. 10

4. We received Complainants' complaints alleging that Complainants'

⁴ 47 U.S.C. § 258(a).

⁵ See 47 C.F.R. § 64.1120.

⁶ 47 U.S.C. § 258(a).

⁷ See 47 C.F.R. § 64.1120(c). Section 64.1130 details the requirements for letter of agency form and content for written or electronically signed authorizations. 47 C.F.R. § 64.1130.

See 47 C.F.R. §§ 64.1140, 64.1160. Any charges imposed by the unauthorized carrier on the subscriber for service provided after this 30-day period shall be paid by the subscriber to the authorized carrier at the rates the subscriber was paying to the authorized carrier at the time of the unauthorized change. *Id.*

⁹ See 47 C.F.R. §§ 64.1140, 64.1170.

¹⁰ See 47 U.S.C. § 503.

telecommunications service providers had been changed to AT&T without Complainants' authorization. Pursuant to Section 1.719 and 64.1150 of our rules, we notified AT&T of the complaints and AT&T responded. Based on their response, and /or further information obtained by Complainant's local exchange carrier, we find that AT&T did not violate our carrier change rules. We therefore find that the complaints referenced herein have been resolved. 14

- 5. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that, pursuant to Section 258 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. § 258, and Sections 0.141, 0.361 and 1.719 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.141, 0.361, 1.719, the complaints against AT&T Corporation ARE RESOLVED.
 - 6. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Order is effective upon release.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Nancy A. Stevenson, Acting Deputy Chief Policy Division Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau

See Appendix A.

⁴⁷ C.F.R. § 1.719 (Commission procedure for informal complaints filed pursuant to Section 258 of the Act); 47 C.F.R. § 64.1150 (procedures for resolution of unauthorized changes in preferred carrier).

See Appendix A.

If Complainant is unsatisfied with the resolution of their complaint, Complainant may file a formal complaint with the Commission pursuant to Section 1.721 of Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.721. Such filing will be deemed to relate back to the filing date of Complainant's informal complaint so long as the formal complaint is filed within 45 days from the date this order is mailed or delivered electronically to Complainant. *See* 47 C.F.R. § 1.719.

See Appendix A.

APPENDIX A

INFORMAL COMPLAINT NUMBER	DATE OF COMPLAINT	DATE OF RESPONSE
01-S44726	February 7, 2001	March 29, 2001
01-S45120	February 21, 2001	April 3, 2001
01-S52014	May 3, 2001	June 8, 2001
01-S54064	April 17, 2001	December 17, 2001
01-S58943	August 7, 2001	October 16, 2001
02-S70400	March 19, 2002	May 3, 2002
02-S72382	April 29, 2002	July 1, 2002
02-S79543	July 12, 2002	September 18, 2002
02-B0005767	August 7, 2002	December 23, 2002